

Conspiring to Kill People by Anton Pinschhof

© 2005 Anton Pinschhof

It may seem convenient to class this 'preliminary essay' by [Richard K. Moore](#) among the crazy conspiracy theories, but it is nonetheless a realistic description of the way a fraternity of interests occurs and, of its nature, occurs in secret (the bank accounts & identities of limited liability company shareholders being confidential in the first instance, to mention only the most obvious).

Postulating the existence of such a fraternity is not crazy, since it needs no conspiracy to exist, only cynicism, the



effects of which might be evil but are subject to very limited liability indeed. Even the most evil effects are caused by the most ordinary of men and women, for the most ordinary of motives, such as private profit for individuals who happen to be something in *The City*... though one never knew precisely what.

This is no better and no worse than the infamous administrator whose trains ran on time, deporting people from Bordeaux to Drancy, for connections to destinations further East, the initial motive being little

more than the professional pride of an administrator.

The most evil effects require in fact very little personal or collective evil and, to begin with, require merely a combination of irresponsibility and hardly foreseeable circumstance and little real evil conspiracy, just as it requires no evil motives for a nation to vote democratically to defend itself and its standard of living, resulting in evil things being done in its name.

This is generally less a fault of the so-called democratic system than an inevitable result of the veritable dynamics of power and of the very constitution of a central State. How often have we heard Israeli spokesmen pleading that theirs is the only democratic state in their part of the World and that their bulldozers are democratically controlled and can do no wrong? And how often after a bombing must we hear ministers of Her Britannic Majesty's government making little Churchillian speeches about defending the British way of life?

Modern British identity and way of life are originally constituted on the political values of English mercantile & industrial hegemony within Britain and latterly on the Anglo-American financial empire, as described here by Richard Moore drawing upon the scholarship of William Engdahl's [A Century of War: Anglo-American Oil Politics and The New World Order](#).

And it is precisely this alienated and increasingly unproductive way of life that must import resources and labour (as must Europe in general) and inspire keen resentment in precisely those children of immigrants who (with impeccable Yorkshire accents) are so near to assimilating, yet so far from any real or illusory success within that so-called way of life.

We are fascinated and suspend disbelief, assume a position of amnesia, and look forward with bated breath to the next exciting and unpredictable episode, in the aftermath of World War I. Will the Europeans learn the lessons of their first world war and henceforth be content, hobbit-like, to cultivate home-grown values, products and energy and leave others in peace to do likewise?

Having understood the power dynamics that led via Berlin to Baghdad, via the shooting of an archduke to the sinking of the *Lusitania*, will they or won't they set up puppet states in the oilfields...and then bomb them? Will central bankers & industrialists collude with new tyrants or won't they?

Petit Bretagne